## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC d/b/a	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-487-ADA
BRAZOS LICENSING AND	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-488-ADA
DEVELOPMENT,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-489-ADA
,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-490-ADA
Plaintiff,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-491-ADA
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-492-ADA
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-493-ADA
•	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-494-ADA
ZTE CORPORATION, ZTE (USA)	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-495-ADA
INC., AND ZTE (TX), INC.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-496-ADA
11.00,11.02 212 (112), 11.00	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-497-ADA
Defendants.	§	
2 4.4.4.4.4.4	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
	§	

## RESPONSE REGARDING NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

The arguments contained in ZTE's "Notice of Supplemental Authority" are improper briefing, filed without leave, and should be stricken or ignored. ZTE's pretext for filing a new brief without leave is unpersuasive. *In re Andra* does not represent a change in law. *See In re Andra Group LLP*, Case 20-2009 (Dkt. No. 41, Order) (Fed. Cir. Aug. 3, 2021). Rather, it applies existing law to the specific facts of a specific case. ZTE already had full opportunity to argue the law relating to agency and ratification to the facts of *this* case, which differ from *In re Andra*. ZTE's belated attempt to re-brief these issues should be rejected.

To the extent this Court is taking "notice" of *In re Andra*, however, it should take notice that the District Court dismissed *only* the Non-Store Defendants. *See* ZTE's Notice, Ex. A \*3-4. It did not dismiss the "Stores" defendant because it found venue was proper against that defendant. *Id.* This, of course, contradicts ZTE's incorrect argument, raised for the first time during the hearing on its motion, that if venue is improper as to *any* defendant, a court must dismiss *all* defendants.

Therefore, WSOU respectfully requests that the Court strike ZTE's "notice" because it is not a notice. Rather, it is more briefing filed without leave, filed without even consulting WSOU, and is argumentative.

Dated: August 5, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ryan Loveless

James L. Etheridge Texas Bar No. 24059147 Ryan S. Loveless

Texas Bar No. 24036997

**Etheridge Law Group, PLLC** 

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, TX 76092 Tel.: (817) 470-7249 Fax: (817) 887-5950 Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com Ryan@EtheridgeLaw.com

Mark D. Siegmund State Bar No. 24117055 mark@waltfairpllc.com Law Firm of Walt, Fair PLLC. 1508 North Valley Mills Drive Waco, Texas 76710

Telephone: (254) 772-6400 Facsimile: (254) 772-6432

Counsel for Plaintiff WSOU Investments, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

A true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served or delivered electronically via the U.S. District Court [LIVE]-Document Filing System to all counsel of record August 5, 2021.

/s/ Ryan Loveless
Ryan S. Loveless